

REGULAR SESSION, COMMON COUNCIL, JUNE 14, 2021

Be it Remembered that the Common Council of the City of Plymouth, Indiana, met in regular session on June 14, 2021. The meeting was held in the Council Chambers, on the second floor of the City Building, 124 N. Michigan St., Plymouth, Indiana and was called to order at 6:42 p.m.

Mayor Senter led the Pledge of Allegiance and Councilman Ecker offered prayer.

Senter presided for Council member Greg Compton, Duane Culp, Jeff Houin, Robert Listenberger, Randy Longanecker and Shiloh Carothers Milner. As allowed by Governor Holcomb's Executive Orders and Resolution No. 2021-946 adopted by the Common Council, Council Member Don Ecker attended the meeting electronically through Microsoft Teams. Clerk-Treasurer Xavier was also present. The public was able to see and hear the meeting through Microsoft Teams.

Council Members Compton and Culp moved and seconded to approve the minutes of the last regular Common Council session on May 24, 2021, as presented. The motion carried.

City Attorney Surrisi presented Ordinance No. 2021-2180, An Ordinance Amending Ordinance No. 2020-2172, the Ordinance Fixing Salaries of Appointed Officers and Employees, Fire and Police Personnel of the City of Plymouth, Indiana for the Year 2021 (Commonly Known as the 2021 Salary Ordinance), on second reading.

Clerk-Treasurer Xavier stated that the Ordinance provided for up to a 1.5% increase for the full-time employees, effective for the hours worked from June 21-July 4 and payable July 15th. The ordinance did not address elected officials, as salaries for elected officials must be adopted before the end of the year prior to being earned. She added that one of the councilmen commented at the last meeting that they were considering the ordinance because the departments were staying under budget. Therefore, she would not be asking the state for additional appropriations to fund the raises. The departments would be expected to adjust their spending within their budgets to allow for any raises that they intended to give.

Houin asked what would happen if they gave the raises and they did not have enough money in their budgets if they would have to cut labor.

Xaver replied that not necessarily, they would be able to cut spending in other places such as capital to fund those raises. She added that she was just stating that the departments were expected to stay within their budget with the raises that they give. She stated that when she budgets, she tends to allow for contingencies which should be plenty.

Houin asked if there were not plenty of money in the budget would an additional appropriation be needed. Xaver stated that yes, the state does not allow for overspending of the budget.

REGULAR SESSION, COMMON COUNCIL, JUNE 14, 2021

Houin asked if things were to get tight for departments toward the end of the year would an additional appropriation be able to be done later in the year. Xaver confirmed they could ask.

Council Members Ecker and Houin moved and seconded to pass Ordinance No. 2021-2180, An Ordinance Amending Ordinance No. 2020-2172, the Ordinance Fixing Salaries of Appointed Officers and Employees, Fire and Police Personnel of the City of Plymouth, Indiana for the Year 2021 (Commonly Known as the 2021 Salary Ordinance), on second reading. The motion passed by roll call vote.

AYES: Compton, Culp, Ecker, Houin, Listenberger, Longanecker, Milner
NAYS: None

City Attorney Surrisi presented Ordinance No. 2021-2180, An Ordinance Amending Ordinance No. 2020-2172, the Ordinance Fixing Salaries of Appointed Officers and Employees, Fire and Police Personnel of the City of Plymouth, Indiana for the Year 2021 (Commonly Known as the 2021 Salary Ordinance), on third reading.

Council Members Culp and Milner moved and seconded to pass Ordinance No. 2021-2180, An Ordinance Amending Ordinance No. 2020-2172, the Ordinance Fixing Salaries of Appointed Officers and Employees, Fire and Police Personnel of the City of Plymouth, Indiana for the Year 2021 (Commonly Known as the 2021 Salary Ordinance), on third reading. The motion passed by roll call vote.

AYES: Compton, Culp, Ecker, Houin, Listenberger, Longanecker, Milner
NAYS: None

Mayor Senter presented the key to the city to Tom and Carolyn Blackburn for managing and owning the Ponderosa restaurant for 37 years and making such an impact on the city and the thousands of employees who worked for them over the years. He wished Tom and Carolyn Blackburn the best in all of their future endeavors.

Tom Blackburn stated that he truly appreciated the award, and that he could not have done all of this without the support and help of all of his family members. He will miss Ponderosa and everything that came with it as they move forward.

Clerk-Treasurer Xaver reported that the city received a \$1,000 donation from Centier Bank for the Mayor's Month of Music. She asked if the council wished to accept the donations with the restricted terms, conditions and purposes attached to the donation. If so, the donation would be receipted into the Monetary Gift Fund.

Board Members Culp and Houin moved and seconded to accept the donations with the restricted terms, conditions and purposes attached to the donation. The motion carried.

REGULAR SESSION, COMMON COUNCIL, JUNE 14, 2021

Clerk-Treasurer Xavier presented the following request:

6/1/21 – Guadalupe Sanchez, 711 N. Center St., Clean up regards, I received the notice after the clean-up.

Xaver stated that the applicant was not present, and that no other communications on the topic had been received by her or her office.

Police Chief Bacon stated that he also did not have any more information than her request.

According to the GIS the person that submitted the request was the owner of the property.

City Attorney Surrisi showed the council photos of the property of when the letter was sent and the day of the clean-up. Bacon added that ten days after the notice was sent the clean-up would have occurred. In this case the pictures were taken on April 1st and the clean-up occurred on April 14th.

Houin asked if the notice was sent out on April 1st when the pictures were taken. Bacon confirmed that the letters are typically sent out the day of the pictures or the day after the photos are taken.

Houin stated that given the past year, he would not be surprised that the mail would have taken that long.

Council Members Houin and Compton moved and seconded to deny the request as presented.

The motion carried.

Clerk-Treasurer Xaver presented the following request:

Jennifer Munoz * 811 W Adams St, Plymouth * 574-910-0877 * jeni.munoz@outlook.com

June 7, 2021

City of Plymouth, IN
Code Enforcement, Utilities and
Office of the Clerk-Treasurer
Plymouth, IN 46563

To Whom it May Concern:

This letter is regarding a statement I received for cleanup that was done at my property. I would like to ask that this statement be reviewed and reconsidered for the following reasons:

Upon receipt of the initial letter dated March 26th, I immediately called and had a dumpster delivered. The dumpster was filled and at one point there was contact with my children, and it was acknowledged that we were following the letter as requested. We moved/removed everything that was listed to the best of my understanding of the letter by the April 5th deadline.

REGULAR SESSION, COMMON COUNCIL, JUNE 14, 2021

On the morning of April 13th, I was working from home and was present when Code Enforcement and the town showed up and caught me off guard. I had not anticipated this and felt that we had been able to make everything right as ordered. There was a small pile of cut tree limbs/shrubbery to the back side of my yard behind a neighbors shed, on a concrete pad, and away from the alley in an area that still has posts because it had previously been fenced in, that was removed by the town. We have had this small pile for three years in this location so that its available for us to use in our fire pit. It is not uncommon to see houses with broken limbs and shrubbery as we had. I had noted that in the copy of the code provided to me there was a sentence regarding shrubbery that stated " ... obstructing public ways or causing visual barriers to traffic or pedestrian safety". With this in the location it was, and had been in, I did not see it as part of the issue being cited in the letter. We had actually just cut down more and added to the pile the weekend prior to April 13th.

We had a fire pit and a few chairs in our back yard when they came on April 13th. This had just been brought out of the garage the weekend of April 10th, after the deadline. Next to the chairs was a trash bag with maybe 4 beer cans making it appear that we are continuing efforts to keep the yard orderly. Bikes had been disposed of or moved up to our back porch and the pavers/rocks that are listed were left to allow us to continue to add a walkway from our back porch to our back drive which was a work in progress but on hold due to winter weather.

So, basically, there was a small number of twigs and a near empty trash bag and that was the extent of what was removed from the property beyond the 10-day point that was required of us. This took probably 10 minutes to remove, and I had asked to please allow me to remove the items in question at that time but was denied. I was told we could keep the pavers but not permitted to take care of the other which was inconsistent in the enforcement of the letter that was sent.

Let me give you a little background, I'm a single mother of 4. I have been divorced a little over 2 years and am trying to manage everything on my own. I was then laid off due to COVID last May. Started a new job in August that was in South Bend, had given notice and was working my notice to begin with BCI Solutions in Bremen beginning on April 26th. Working in South Bend I would need to leave home at 7:00am and not be home until after 6:00pm, and now I leave the same but am able to be home at 5:00pm. This does not leave a lot of extra time which is filled with making meals, schoolwork or being on the go. I have 2 young girls in cheer and a now freshman that is in football, wrestling, track & field, along with baseball over the summer.

Therefore, unfortunately, I do not get a lot of extra time and making calls during the day while I am working or writing letters is not something there is a lot of time for. My apologies that I did not write this letter sooner and my apologies that I did not call to verify that my property met the preferred standards. I am doing my best. I really feel as though it should have been noted all that I did do and then brought to my attention that there should be more done. Like a "thank you for all you did do but can you please...". I was proud of myself, and the efforts we put into what had been done only to be made to feel like it had not been enough. As a victim of domestic abuse not being enough is a fear of mine that is hard for me to get past.

Coming from Walkerton, I always thought that Plymouth was a great town and the place I want my kids to graduate and our family to grow old. At that moment on April 13th, I felt like I was not good enough for the town I thought so highly of. It hurt.

I'm sorry this letter got personal. This has all just frustrated me. In my opinion and something I believe in with work is that people tend to do more and excel their best when you acknowledge the good and not just point out the bad.

Again, I do ask that you please reconsider the fees. In my opinion, they were excessive, inconsistent, and not needed.

Thank you for your time and consideration in this matter. Feel free to respond to this email with further communication regarding this matter.

Kind Regards,

Jennifer Munoz

Enclosures

CC: Mayor Mark Senter, and Members of the Common Council At Large and 2nd District

REGULAR SESSION, COMMON COUNCIL, JUNE 14, 2021



Bin There Dump That

700 W. Marshall St.

Argos, IN 46501

574-892-5554

<http://michiana.binthereDumpthatusa.com>

adminmichiana@binthereDumpthat.com

Invoice #61540

Bill To: Jennifer Munoz 811 W Adams St Plymouth, IN 46563 Attn: Jennifer Munoz	Job Site: 811 W. Adams St Plymouth, IN 46563	Work Order #: 10974 Date: Apr 14, 2021 Due: Apr 14, 2021 Terms: PO:
---	---	--

Date	Item	Qty	Rate	Amount
4/6	20 Yard Dumpster In: Mar 30	1.000	459.00	459.00
Sub Total:				459.00
Total:				\$ 459.00

Payments:	Date	Payment ID	Method	Reference	
	3/30	61326	pay/Trace		459.00
Open balance (this invoice):					\$ 0.00

[Click here for a printer friendly version](#)

Memo:

Ron Lee
Michiana Bin There Dump That
Office: +574-892-5554
adminmichiana@binthereDumpthat.com
<http://michiana.binthereDumpthatusa.com>



REGULAR SESSION, COMMON COUNCIL, JUNE 14, 2021

Xaver stated that from the letter the request would be to reconsider the fees for the clean up at 811 W. Adams Street. The person who submitted the request was not present.

Police Chief Bacon stated that they had also taken pictures the day of the notice and the day of the clean-up. He provided those images to Surrisi to show to the council.

Compton asked if the fees that she was charged were the standard fees for the city. Xaver stated that these fees are dictated by ordinance and are billed as such.

Houin asked if there were any pictures taken after the clean-up was done to show what all was taken. Bacon stated that they do not take pictures after the clean-up. As for what all was taken, the woman who submitted the request was present the day of the clean-up and there was communication between her and the city employees cleaning up the property on what personal property could be taken and what needed to stay. Assistant Chief Owen was the officer on site and worked with her on what would be staying on the property.

Council Members Compton and Culp moved and seconded to deny the request as presented. The motion carried.

Houin asked for Bacon to have his staff take photos after the clean-up was done in order to see what actual clean-up was performed.

Clerk-Treasurer Xaver stated that according to Indiana Code 36-7-25-8:

On an annual basis, each Redevelopment Commission that has created any tax increment financing allocation areas must make a presentation at one of its meetings. The presentation is required to cover the following general information with respect to each allocation area.

- The Redevelopment Commission's budget for the tax increment revenues generated by the allocation area
- The Redevelopment Commission's long-term plans for the allocation area
- The impact of the allocation area on each taxing unit.

Xaver stated that the presentation this year would take place on June 15th, at the Redevelopment Commission's regularly scheduled meeting at 5:30 pm. Notice of the meeting was included in the Council's meeting packet that was sent last Thursday. This notice was also sent to the County Council through the County Auditor, West Township through their trustee; Center Township through their trustee; the library; the Solid Waste District and the Plymouth Community School Corporation. It could be possible that those units may be represented at the meeting by a quorum, and that notice was sent to the media and duly posted as required. Since the City of Plymouth is an overlapping taxing unit of the Redevelopment Commission – the City Council Members and Mayor are invited to the meeting.

Clerk-Treasurer Xaver stated that she had included a copy of the City's Budget Schedule in the packet sent out to the Council previously. She and the Mayor were scheduled to meet with the department heads on Monday June 21st beginning at 8 am in her office. Last year, members of the

REGULAR SESSION, COMMON COUNCIL, JUNE 14, 2021

council voiced an interest in being more of a part of the budgeting process. In turn, members of the council are invited to attend the meetings, as long as there is not a quorum present at any time. Should they wish to take part in the department head meetings, they were to notify her.

Xaver added that if the council members were going to be unavailable for any of the regularly scheduled Council meetings in July, August, or September to also let her know. This could create the need to change the dates that she was required to file with the state for the budgeting work. After August 12th, it may not be possible to change any of the dates. In turn it would be vital that the council have a quorum at the August 23rd meeting to hold the preadoption public hearing and to have a quorum on the 13th of September in order to pass the budget on second and third readings. If anyone were to have questions about the budget, they were asked to get them to Clerk-Treasurer Xaver so that she could address them at those meetings.

Ecker asked if the timeslots were a long enough window for each department would be long enough and if this had worked for her in the past or if she would need to extend some of them for certain departments. Xaver stated that it had worked well this way in the past.

City Attorney Surrisi presented Ordinance No. 2021-2181, An Ordinance Authorizing the City of Plymouth to Issue its "Taxable Economic Development Refunding Revenue Bonds, Series 2021" and approving and Authorizing other Actions in Respect Thereto on first reading.

Surrisi stated that Lisa Lee, Heidi Amspaugh, and Shawn Peterson will speak to the council regarding the refinancing of these Taxable Economic Development Refunding Revenue Bonds.

Shawn Peterson, of Ice Miller LLP, stated that this transaction that was being presented was to refund two series of bonds that were issued in 2018. Originally these bonds were issued with an interest rate of 4.7% and 5.65% up to 6% when the bond reached maturity. The current interest rate of 3.5% would be a set interest rate over the life of the bond. What this ordinance is doing would be to refund the two series of bonds and then consolidate them into one bond series with a lower interest rate. Which would in turn create a savings of up to 1.6 million dollars to the total project. The new bond series that would be created would create an obligation for the TIF of approximately \$459,000 per year while currently the obligation was 425,000 per year. It would also be extending the life of the bond payments to 2035.

Lisa Lee, of Ice Miller LLP, added that the Ordinance that was being presented to the council was a very basic refinancing of the Series A and Series B bonds through an exchange. The current bond holder of the current Series A and Series B had accepted the exchange of the new refunding bonds for the 2018 Series A and Series B bonds. In turn they would be foregoing about \$35,000 in

REGULAR SESSION, COMMON COUNCIL, JUNE 14, 2021

principle in this exchange. For the debt service reserve fund, they will be using old debt service reserve fund to fund half of the new fund, and will be built up over the next two years after each TIF distribution, which would not require a cash infusion into the debt reserve fund at this time. Since the interest rates are much lower, it would help with some the pledges that the city had for the aquatics center. She stated that she believed that the school and the city are paying some lease rentals, which would be able to be used more for the daily operations rather than debt service.

Heidi Amspaugh, of Baker Tilly, went into detail on the numbers of the refinancing of the bonds. Amspaugh stated that the amount that Lee stated earlier that the current bond holder would be foregoing would be \$34,441.00. She added that this new bond issuance would go out the full lifetime of TIF area 3. The calculations that were ran for this assumes that there will be no pass through from the TIF areas in which this debt service will be drawn. The 2018 bonds had a series C note which will remain outstanding and the maximum obligation of \$350,000 for 10 years. This TIF area also has the obligation for the manufacturing center which was the first 3 years of interest if the building were to not get sold.

Compton asked if the 3.5% interest rate was a fixed rate. Lee confirmed that this would be a fixed rate.

Clerk-Treasurer Xavier asked if the proposed bonds the annual payment would be about 445,000 while the current annual bond payment for the series A bonds were about 424,000. Amspaugh confirmed that these numbers were correct.

Xaver asked if with this proposal the city would also be taking on the B bonds along with the A bonds when previously the city was only responsible for the A bonds. Amspaugh confirmed this.

Xaver asked if the previous Series B bonds were to be paid from the lease payments from the Aquatic Center. Peterson stated that the A bonds were financing the city's contribution for this project. The B bonds were in turn a financial guarantee, and with this proposal they will be consolidating the A and B bonds. This would in turn lower the interest rate and reduce the term, allowing for the city to pay the remainder of the bonds through the remainder of the TIF area. In turn, this would free up funds to be used for the operations of the aquatic center.

Xaver informed the council that the Redevelopment Commission passed through five million dollars of assessed valuation last year, but did not this year due to this proposal. Every year the Redevelopment Commission passes through twenty million dollars of assessed valuation from TIF 1.

Houin asked Xaver what the passthrough amounts to in actual revenue to the taxing districts.

Xaver stated that she was not sure of this number. Amspaugh stated that the passthrough goes

REGULAR SESSION, COMMON COUNCIL, JUNE 14, 2021

through at the AV level, and would not provide any new revenue to the overlapping taxing units. Xavier added that additional AV does lower the tax rate and also lowers the property tax cap losses, so the taxing units see additional income by way of less lost income.

Peterson added that according to Amspaugh's report that was provided to the council through their packets distributed prior to the meeting, the coverage would remain the same until 2026. He stated that the refinancing would not affect the passthrough for the next couple of years. What would remain would be the Series C bonds. He stated that when it gets "unwound" that obligation goes away. He stated that this would not restrict the ability for these coverage areas in the future.

Surrisi asked for Peterson to explain how the Series C bonds work. Peterson explained that these bonds did not actually have a note issued and are not an obligation unless the unwinding did not happen. These bonds are unwound the day after the compliance period of the seven-year note.

Xaver asked if the tax credit requirements are met by the day care in the area. Peterson stated that the tax credit is for impacts that are projected over the seven-year compliance period. In this case, United Way and the Day Care benefit low-income households and fulfill this requirement.

Surrisi stated that MCEDC Leverage Lender, the bond purchaser, was in support for this refinancing of the bonds. He added that this would free up about \$212,000 annually over the next 14 years that could go toward the operations of the Aquatic Center facility.

Compton asked when the benefits of the refinancing of the bonds would be effective. Surrisi stated that the bond payments were due quarterly and in turn would take a few months for the benefits to be seen in the operating entity. About \$106,000 should be available to the facility in August. Surrisi added that in order for United Federal Credit Union to hold the interest rate this would need to be passed before the end of June. He stated that there was still another meeting at the end of the month where this could be passed, but if they would consider suspending the rules and passing this ordinance on all three readings it would allow for more flexibility for the closing schedule.

Board Members Ecker and Compton moved and seconded to suspend the rules and consider the ordinance on all three readings. The motion passed by roll call vote.

AYES: Compton, Culp, Ecker, Houin, Listenberger, Longanecker, Milner
NAYS: None

City Attorney Surrisi presented Ordinance No. 2021-2181, An Ordinance Authorizing the City of Plymouth to Issue its "Taxable Economic Development Refunding Revenue Bonds, Series 2021" and approving and Authorizing other Actions in Respect Thereto on second reading.

REGULAR SESSION, COMMON COUNCIL, JUNE 14, 2021

Board Members Compton and Ecker moved and seconded to adopt Ordinance No. 2021-

2181, An Ordinance Authorizing the City of Plymouth to Issue its "Taxable Economic Development Refunding Revenue Bonds, Series 2021" and approving and Authorizing other Actions in Respect Thereto on second reading. The motion passed by roll call vote.

A YES: Compton, Culp, Ecker, Houin, Listenberger, Longanecker, Milner
NAYS: None

City Attorney Surrisi presented Ordinance No. 2021-2181, An Ordinance Authorizing the City of Plymouth to Issue its "Taxable Economic Development Refunding Revenue Bonds, Series 2021" and approving and Authorizing other Actions in Respect Thereto on third reading.

Board Members Ecker and Listenberger moved and seconded to adopt Ordinance No. 2021-2181, An Ordinance Authorizing the City of Plymouth to Issue its "Taxable Economic Development Refunding Revenue Bonds, Series 2021" and approving and Authorizing other Actions in Respect Thereto on third reading. The motion passed by roll call vote.

A YES: Compton, Culp, Ecker, Houin, Listenberger, Longanecker, Milner
NAYS: None

City Attorney Surrisi presented Resolution No. 2021-952, A Resolution Providing for the Transfer of Appropriations in the General Fund Fire Department of the City of Plymouth, Indiana, as Requested by the Fire Chief and Forwarded to the Common Council for Their Action and Passage Pursuant to IC 6-1.1-18-6.

Fire Chief Holm addressed the council regarding his request. He stated that the department wanted to purchase a Lifepak 15 monitor. The monitor is used for patient assessment as it is capable of monitoring heart rhythms, blood pressure, and defibrillation. They currently have a Lifepak 12 monitor which was just over twenty years old and cannot sync to computers or transmit to the hospital. In the previous year they budgeted \$18,000 as they were looking at a refurbished model, which were no longer available. In turn they would need to purchase a new one at just over \$32,000. They were able to trade in an old electric cot that they no longer use for \$2,500. They had budgeted to buy pagers for the current year, which were no longer needed as they still have some on hand which would provide about \$7,500 for the Lifepak 15. In turn this would end up being about at \$4,500 shortfall for the monitor in which they would ask to transfer \$6,000 in order to cover the shortfall and any incidentals that may come up in that line item.

Compton asked if they had extra appropriations in the insurance line item. Holm stated that he had sat down with the Clerk-Treasurer and went through what all the employees used and decided to transfer from this line item.

REGULAR SESSION, COMMON COUNCIL, JUNE 14, 2021

Council Members Longanecker and Listenberger moved and seconded to adopt Resolution No. 2021-952, A Resolution Providing for the Transfer of Appropriations in the General Fund Fire Department of the City of Plymouth, Indiana, as Requested by the Fire Chief and Forwarded to the Common Council for Their Action and Passage Pursuant to IC 6-1.1-18-6. The motion passed by roll call vote.

AYES: Compton, Culp, Ecker, Houin, Listenberger, Longanecker, Milner
NAYS: None

City Attorney Surtisi presented Resolution No. 2021-953, A Resolution of the City of Plymouth Declaring Certain Real Estate to be Within an "Economic Revitalization Area" Pursuant to I.C. 6-1.1-12.1 et seq. (DAC Enterprise Inc.)

Greg Hildebrand from Marshall County Economic Development Corporation and Dennis Cripe were present to speak about the request. Hildebrand stated that this was step one of a tax abatement process for DAC Enterprise Incorporated and they would be asking for an abatement of \$750,000 in expenditures with \$450,000 in real estate and \$300,000 in personal property and manufacturing. Cripe stated that they just took over a building on 1177 Markley Drive and will be working on repairs and upgrading the materials and machinery at the building. This would increase the size of DAC Enterprise by about 17,500 square feet. So far this had been wonderful for their business and allowed them to increase their inventory by about \$350,000 from the previous year.

Compton asked for their expectation was for the terms of this possible abatement. Cripe stated that they hope to eventually build up over the next few years they hope to acquisition the manufacturer company that they have been working very closely with. They ask for the tax abatement to help accomplish this. Hildebrand stated that this type of abatement would just the standard phase in abatement.

Houin asked if this was just the standard phase in abatement and that they were not asking for anything unique or different that normal. Hildebrand confirmed that this was just the standard phase in abatement.

Listenberger asked Hildebrand if he would continue to have private meetings with a couple of council members at a time to speak about this. Hildebrand stated that he would.

Council Members Culp and Listenberger moved and seconded to adopt Resolution No. 2021-953, A Resolution of the City of Plymouth Declaring Certain Real Estate to be Within an "Economic Revitalization Area" Pursuant to I.C. 6-1.1-12.1 et seq. (DAC Enterprise Inc.). The motion passed by roll call vote.

REGULAR SESSION, COMMON COUNCIL, JUNE 14, 2021

AYES: Compton, Culp, Ecker, Houin, Listenberger, Longanecker, Milner
 NAYS: None

City Attorney Surrisi presented Resolution No. 2021-954, A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Plymouth, Indiana Authorizing the Submittal of the River Park Phase 2 Application to the Indiana Office of Community and Rural Affairs and Addressing Related Matters.

Surrisi stated that this resolution would allow for the mayor to submit the River Park Square Phase 2 Application to OCRA for the Stellar funds, and would note that city had \$140,000 for the local match on the project. There was a delay on submitting this application due to OCRA only wanting to see one Stellar project from each community at a time. Once the bids come in for the Rees Theater on June 30th, Shannon McLeod would be able to submit the application for this project at some point in early July.

Council Members Listenberger and Houin moved and seconded to adopt Resolution No. 2021-954, A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Plymouth, Indiana Authorizing the Submittal of the River Park Phase 2 Application to the Indiana Office of Community and Rural Affairs and Addressing Related Matters. The motion passed by roll call vote.

AYES: Compton, Culp, Ecker, Houin, Listenberger, Longanecker, Milner
 NAYS: None

Mayor Senter offered the privilege of the floor. No one accepted.

Members Ecker and Longanecker moved and seconded to accept the following

communications:

- Minutes of the Board of Public Works and Safety meeting of May 24, 2021
- 06.14.21 Check Register
- Notice to Overlapping Taxing Units of presentation at Redevelopment Commission
- 2022 Budget Calendar

The motion carried.

There being no further business to come before the Council, Council Members Longanecker and Compton moved and seconded to adjourn the meeting. Mayor Senter declared the meeting adjourned at 7:44 p.m.

Jeanine M. Xaver
 Jeanine M. Xaver, IAMC, CMC, CPFIM
 Clerk-Treasurer

APPROVED

Mark Senter
 Mark Senter, Mayor